

## REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application as amended. Claims 1-7, 9-32 and 38-41 have been amended. No claims have been cancelled. No new claims have been added. Therefore, claims 1-41 are presented for examination. The following remarks are in response to the final Office Action, mailed February 14, 2006, and the advisory action issued thereafter.

### 35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejection

Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Shaw, et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,151,598, (“Shaw”).

Shaw discloses “[a] digital dictionary system comprising . . . constructing and maintaining an alphabet.” Shaw further discloses “*user applications for receiving, prioritizing, maintaining, and updating a selective . . . subject of interest for each user application.*” (Abstract; emphasis provided).

In contrast, claim 1, in pertinent part, recites “implementing logic rules in connection with a plurality relevance and importance matrices each associated with one of the plurality of information items to further perform context-based processing; and dynamically adapting the context-based processing to changing circumstances relating to the information items.” (emphasis provided). Applicants submit that nowhere does Shaw teach or reasonably suggest implementing logic rules in connection with a plurality relevance and importance matrices and dynamically adapting the context-based processing to changing circumstances relating to the information items. as recited by claim 15. (emphasis provided). Shaw does not teach or reasonably suggest each and

every element of claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claim 1 and its dependent claims be withdrawn.

Claims 15 and 29 contain limitations similar to those of claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claims 15 and 29 and their dependent claims.

### **Conclusion**

In light of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of the claims is hereby earnestly requested.

### **Invitation for a Telephone Interview**

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

### **Request for an Extension of Time**

Applicant respectfully petitions for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.


### **Charge our Deposit Account**

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: May 11, 2006

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Aslam A. Jaffery  
Reg. No. 51,841

12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025-1030  
(303) 740-1980